



Lane County

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION
125 EAST 8TH AVENUE
EUGENE, OREGON 97401

PHONE: 541-682-3823

FAX: 541-682-3947

MAY 15, 2007

ATTACHMENT "D"

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION



SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED

Submitted on: 5/4/07

Taken By: W

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY THIS OFFICE IN REGARDS TO THE FOLLOWING:

BP# _____

PA# 06-7257 Babb

SP# _____

SI# _____

OTHER: ~~12/15~~ May 15 SS

REC'D MAY 01 2007

COMMENTS/TESTIMONY FOR MEASURE 37 CLAIMS

No development will be approved at the public hearing for this Measure 37 claim.

Name: ED and Yvonne Melia

Address: 2594 PRIMROSE ST., EUGENE 97402

Distance from property: 3 Houses DOWN PA #: 06-7257

Do you wish to receive notice of future land use applications for this property? ☒ YES ☐ NO

To have a valid claim against Lane County under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770, the applicant must prove:

1. Lane County has enacted or enforced a restrictive land use regulation since the owner or a family member acquired the property; and
2. The restrictive land use regulation has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property; and
3. The restrictive land use regulation is not an exempt regulation as defined in Measure 37 and LC 2.710.

Testimony regarding this Measure 37 claim should be limited to evidence addressing the three issues outlined above. Issues regarding water, traffic, septic or other concerns not related to the Measure 37 criteria may be addressed once a land use application is submitted. If the Board of County Commissioners determines this is a valid claim, the claimant may submit a land use application to develop the property at a later date. Notice regarding a land use application to develop the property will be sent at that time to all who submit testimony during the Measure 37 claim proceeding or request such notice in writing.

Your testimony can be submitted by email, in writing or in person at the hearing, but should address these specific areas. Attach additional pages if needed.

1. Continuous ownership by the present owner or family members and the restrictive county land use regulations enacted or enforced since the property was acquired.

2. The alleged reduction in fair market value resulting from enforcement of restrictive land use regulations.

3. Whether the restrictive land use regulations are exempt from Measure 37 claims.

4. Other comments.

1) RE: E40 ZONING - THIS land IS NOT A FARM and has not been
so in the 7 yrs. we have lived here.

2) Four-wheelers are using this empty land as A Pick-up

Truck + SUV Track, creating much Noise
and havoc in the middle of the night.

Everyone is Fed-up with the nighttime
noise and the County's lack of concern.

3) Please change the zoning so this
intolerable situation might be remedied

Thank you

El Melia



© Barbara von Hoffmann

